

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/821,109	04/08/2004	Michael Cafaro	HEL177/4-010US	8231	
75	90 11/16/2006		EXAMINER		
Timothy S. Corder			MANAHAN, TODD E		
VINSON & ELKINS LLP 2300 First City Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1001 Fannin			3732		
Houston, TX 77002-6760			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assistant Occurrence	10/821,109	CAFARO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Todd E. Manahan	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a repit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Dly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this condoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		N					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	•	- 1				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 		unlication No					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			Stane				
application from the International Bureau	•	cocived in this Hational	otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.					
	•						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/17/04</u> .	6) Other:		,				

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 8 be found allowable, claim 10 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Endo et al. (Japanese Patent No. 2003310339).

Endo et al. disclose a flat straightener comprising an ion generator system 11, a fan 9, and a motor 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1, 2, and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doyle (United Sates Patent No. 4,520,256) in view of Nakagawa et al. (United Sates Patent Publication No. 2002/0189128).

Doyle discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the ion generator system. Nakagawa et al. disclose a hair styling appliance having an ion generator system 62. The ion generator system comprises an anode pin and a cathode ring. The device further includes an indicator LED 9 for the ion generator. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the curling iron of Doyle with an ion generator system in view of Nakagawa et al. in order to treat the hair and make it smooth and silky.

Claims 1, 3, -6, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuen et al. (United Sates Patent Publication No. 2005/0076930) in view of Nakagawa et al. (United Sates Patent Publication No. 2002/0189128).

Yuan et al. disclose the invention essentially as claimed except for the ion generator system. Nakagawa et al. disclose a hair styling appliance having an ion generator system 62. The ion generator system comprises an anode pin and a cathode ring. The device further includes an indicator LED 9 for the ion generator. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the flat straightener of Yuan et al. with an ion generator system in view of Nakagawa et al. in order to treat the hair and make it smooth and silky.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272-4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

T.E. Manahan 28 September 2006